

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/509,690	10/12/2004	Tetsurou Fukumoto	259741US6PCT 6697		
	7590 01/24/2008	EXAMINER			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			MEYERS, JAMES A		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2622			
	•		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/24/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/509,690	FUKUMOTO ET AL.	FUKUMOTO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
James A. Meyers	2622			

	barries 7 % Weyers	-	.022	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover s	heet with the co	respondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE	THIS APPLICATION IN	CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to this application, applicant must timely file one of the places the application in condition for allowance; (2) (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in c following time periods:</li> </ol>	following replies: (1) an a Noti ce of Appeal (with ompliance with 37 CFR	amendment, affida h appeal fee) in co	avit, or other eviden ompliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	e later than SIX MONTHS f	from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box of MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The been filed is the date for purposes of determining experiod of exte CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shor above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than threarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding tened statutory period for re	g amount of the fee. eply originally set in	The appropriate exte the final Office action;	nsion fee under 37 or (22): assrth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply name in AMENDMENTS	ny extension thereof (37	7 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of	the appeal.
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejec	tion but prior to the date	of filing a brief w	ill not be entered l	necause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require furth (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application	er consideration and/or s below);	search (see NOTE	below),	
appeal; and/or				ine issues ioi
(d) They present additional claims without canceli  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.3	• •	iber of finally rejec	ted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CF	• • •	Notice of Non-Con	npliant Amendment	(PTOL -324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject				•
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, ti	mely filed amendme	ent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s how the new or amended claims would be rejected i The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e)</li> </ol>	od and sufficient reasons			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of entered because the affidavit or other evidence faile showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.</li> </ol>	filing a Notice of Appeal d to overcome <u>all</u> rejecti	ions under appeal	and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An expla REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	ination of the status of th	ne claims after en	try is below or attac	hed.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been consider	ed but does NOT place	the application in	condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statements. Other:	nt(s). (PTO/SB/08) Pape	r No(s)		

10/509,690 Art Unit: 2622

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed December 20, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).
- 3. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).
- 4. In this case, the <u>Oliver</u> reference is not used to demonstrate an attachment section that slides on to the camera from a side of the camera. It is only used to demonstrate that it was known to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention

Application/Control Number:

10/509,690 Art Unit: 2622

that camera attachments could be attached to the camera in a detachable manner.

One of ordinary skill would recognize that sliding on from a side of the camera is not the only detachable manner in which an attachment section can be mounted on a camera.

5. Furthermore, the <u>Shigeru</u> reference is not used to add the camera case of <u>Shigeru</u> to the camera of <u>Takeshi</u>. It is only used to demonstrate a known manner of attachment for camera accessories. One of ordinary skill would recognize that such a manner of attachment could be used in any number of camera accessories, not just the waterproof case of <u>Shigeru</u>.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Meyers whose telephone number is (571) 270-1690. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, NgocYen Vu can be reached on (571) 272-7320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/509,690

Art Unit: 2622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

1/17/2008 JM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER